

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		NH1.P01	1189
09/632,383	09/632,383 08/03/2000 John A. Ananian 7590 11/24/2003		EXAMINER CHANNAVAJJALA, SRIRAMA T	
MARK D. ALLEMAN, ESQ. KOLISCH,HARTWELL,DICKINSON,MCCORMACK & HEUSER 520 S.W. YAMHILL STREET SUITE 200 PORTLAND, OR 97204			ART UNIT 2177  DATE MAILED: 11/24/200	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del> -		$\mu$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/632,383	ANANIAN ET AL.			
ossia Action Summany	Examiner	Art Unit			
Office Action Summary	o : Channayaiiala	2177			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by second and the properties of the prope	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rel n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	(30) days will be considered timely.  "HS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status	14 October 2003.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	This action is non-final.				
2a) This action is 1 in a large expect for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	thdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
		•			
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer. □ accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.			
9) The specification is objected to by the EX  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection	accepted of b)( eb)total	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	(IIO EXCITITION				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	faccion priority under 35 U.S.C	s, § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for reference was included in the first senter.	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). or a list of the certified copies in domestic priority under 35 U.S. in the first sentence of the speci	Application No en received in this National Stage ot received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) fication or in an Application Data Sheet. See been received. C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)		ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	0-948) 5) Notice	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
-/ 44		Port of Paper No. 14			

Art Unit: 2177

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

- 1. Examiner acknowledges applicant's response filed on 10/14/2003, paper no 12
- 2. Claim 4 has been amended, paper no. # 4.
- 3. Claims 1-21 are pending in this application.

# Drawings

4. The drawings filed on 8/3/2000 are <u>objected to</u> by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152. a copy of PTO-948 is enclosed to this office action, paper no. # 8, formal drawings are required in response to this office action.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

- 5. The information disclosure statement filed on 710/24/2003, paper no. # 13 has been considered and a copy was enclosed with this office action, paper no. # 14.
- 6. The information disclosure statement filed on 7/25/2001, paper no. # 4 has been considered and a copy was enclosed with this office action, paper no. # 8.
- 7. Examiner acknowledges applicants preliminary amendment filed on 12/2/2002, paper no. # 6

Art Unit: 2177

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-10,13,16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murdock et al., [hereafter Murdock], US Patent No. 5983010 in view of Nielsen et al., [hereafter Nielsen], EP051368.
- 9. As to Claims 1 and 6, Murdock teaches a system which including 'generating an interactive profile of a building' [see Abstract, col 1, line 9-13], generating interactive profile of a building corresponds to fig 1, element 80, 'receiving a plan set into an interactive profile system, the interactive profile system embodied within a computer program, the plan set comprising an elemental physical description of a building, and the plan set including a plurality of potentially interrelated building components' [col 1, line 45-54, col 2, line 27-34, fig 6-7,fig 13], Murdock specifically teaches for example computer based applications using CAD program for graphically generating building structure such as detailed in fig 6-7, further Murdock also suggests for example physical description of a building that corresponds to fig 7, fig 13, physical description of a building such as interior, structure elements ceilings, main frame walls, and like, 'converting the plan set to a standardized data set, the standardized data set compliant with an enhanced data protocol' [col 2, line 48-63], standardized data set is integral part

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/632,383

Art Unit: 2177

of Murdock's teaching because Murdock not only teaches for example CAD program that is used for graphically representing building structure, but also specifically suggests using predefined core and structural information templates, further template components allows user to assign attributes to individual and groupings of various data related to building structure [col 2, line 38-63], 'standardized data set into an extracted data set, to develop and link the plurality of potentially interrelated building components' [fig 12-13, col 7, line 12-35], Murdock specifically suggest for example various building components that are part of building structure and they are interrelated to each other as detailed in fig 12-13, 'compiling an enhanced profile database from the extracted data set, the enhanced profile database including a plurality of interrelated components' [col 6, line 40-64], 'assigning a unique descriptor tag to each one of the plurality of interrelated components' [col 6, line 36-39, fig 8], unique descriptor tag corresponds to tag refers to a discrete surface as detailed in fig 8. It is however, noted that Murdock does not specifically teach 'receiving a profile query into an application engine, the application engine included within the interactive profile system, the profile query received from a user, and the profile query relatable to the enhanced profile database, 'profile response to the profile query'. On the other hand, Nielsen teaches the limitations 'receiving a profile query into an application engine, the application engine included within the interactive profile system, the profile query received from a user, and the profile query relatable to the enhanced profile database, 'profile response to the profile query' [see Abstract, page 9, line 39-43, fig 3-4], Nielsen is directed to self teaching advanced search, more specifically using graphical user interface, user can

Art Unit: 2177

create and execute his/her own search, therefore, user can compose search query using either form fill out or text editing as suggested, see Abstract.

It would have been obvious one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicants invention to incorporate the teachings of Nielsen et al. into method of describing building structure information template of Murdock et al. because they are both directed to graphical user interface either adding, or selecting information templates [Murdock: Abstract, fig 13-14; Nielsen: Abstract, fig 2-3], and both systems maintain information in the database. More specifically Murdock teaches collection, generating building structure information, while Nielsen is directed to search for specific information. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine the references because that would have allowed users of Murdock's method of describing building structure information template to control which relative or specific search query to the search engine satisfies his or her needs as suggested by Nielsen et al., [see Abstract], thus bringing the advantages of user can compose required query either form fill or template fill or text editing.

10. As to Claims 2 and 7, both Murdock and Nielsen teaches 'parsing the plan set into plurality of cells and plurality of structural elements' [Murdock: fig 8-9; Nielsen: fig 9, element 930], further Murdock teaches 'structural elements together comprising a functionally complete rendering of the building' [fig 6-7].

Application/Control Number: 09/632,383 Page 6

Art Unit: 2177

11. As to Claims 3,5,8, Murdock teaches a system which including 'converting the plan set to a standardized CAD compliant format' [col 1, line 17-26, col 8, line 19-22], Murdock specifically suggests using computer based application or CAD for describing building structure.

12. As to Claim 4, Murdock teaches a system which including 'interactive profiling system for generating an interactive profile of a building' [see Abstract, col 1, line 9-13], generating interactive profile of a building corresponds to fig 1, element 80, 'a profiling engine for receiving a plan set from a user and storing the plan set on a database' [[col 1, line 45-54, col 2, line 27-34, fig 6-7,fig 13], Murdock specifically teaches for example computer based applications using CAD program for graphically generating building structure such as detailed in fig 6-7, further Murdock also suggests for example physical description of a building that corresponds to fig 7, fig 13, physical description of a building such as interior, structure elements ceilings, main frame walls, and like:

It is, however, noted that Murdock does not specifically teach 'remotely located user and web browser', 'an application engine associated with a server', 'database searchable for an interrelated element having a criteria set that corresponds to the query from the user; and an electronic interface having the ability to transmit a communication between the user and the application engine, the communication including a response to the query by the user', 'profile response to the user via the web browser', 'profile query is relatable to the enhanced profile database', 'application

Art Unit: 2177

engine is configured to formulate a profile response to the profile query, the profile response including a listing of at least one of the plurality of interrelated elements of the enhanced profile'.

On the other hand, Nielsen teaches 'remotely located user and web browser' [see fig 1], Nielsen specifically directed to a network having client server interaction for example Internet and on the world wide web, 'an application engine associated with a server' [fig 1], 'database searchable for an interrelated element having a criteria set that corresponds to the query from the user' [fig 2, page 5, line 54-57, page 6, line 1-2, fig 2-3, page 9, line 37-43], criteria set that corresponds to the query from the user corresponds to Nielsen's fig 2-3; 'electronic interface having the ability to transmit a communication between the user and the application engine, the communication including a response to the query by the user'[fig 1], profile response to the user via the web browser' [fig 1, page 8, line 46-50], 'profile query is relatable to the enhanced profile database' [fig 2,page 5,line 54-57, page 6, line 1-2, fig 2-3, page 9, line 37-43], profile database corresponds to Nielsen's search database detailed in page 9, line 36-38, 'application engine is configured to formulate a profile response to the profile query, the profile response including a listing of at least one of the plurality of interrelated elements of the enhanced profile' [fig 1-3, page 6, line 3-15], profile response including a listing of interrelated elements corresponds to fig 2-3.

Art Unit: 2177

It would have been obvious one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicants invention to incorporate the teachings of Nielsen et al. into method of describing building structure information template of Murdock et al. because they are both directed to graphical user interface either adding, or selecting information templates [Murdock: Abstract, fig 13-14; Nielsen: Abstract, fig 2-3], and both systems maintain information in the database. More specifically Murdock teaches collection, generating building structure information, while Nielsen is directed to search for specific information. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine the references because that would have allowed users of Murdock's method of describing building structure information template to control which relative or specific search query to the search engine satisfies his or her needs as suggested by Nielsen et al., [see Abstract], thus bringing the advantages of user can compose required query either form fill or template fill or text editing.

13. As to Claim 9, Murdock teaches a system which including 'generating an interactive profile of a building' [see Abstract, col 1, line 9-13], generating interactive profile of a building corresponds to fig 1, element 80, it is also noted that Murdock teaches for example computer based applications using CAD program for graphically generating building structure such as detailed in fig 6-7, [col 1, line 45-54, col 2, line 27-34, fig 6-7,fig 13], further Murdock also suggests for example physical description of a building that corresponds to fig 7, fig 13, physical description of a building such as interior, structure elements ceilings, main frame walls, and like, 'receiving a plan set

Art Unit: 2177

including a two-dimensional physical description of a building' [see fig 6-7, especially fig 7 suggests for example physical dimensions of a building such a left and front. 'developing a three-dimensional physical description of the building components, based on the two dimensional physical description of the building' [see fig 8-9], Murdock specifically suggests for example core structural information template consisting an added dimension "depth" for a front wall of house as detailed in fig 8-9, 'linking the building components into component grouping, and storing the enhanced profile in an enhanced profile database' [see fig 8-9, col 5, line 62-67, col 6, line 39], Murdock specifically suggests linking the building components such as front wall, back wall of each levels such as detailed in fig 9, it is however noted that Murdock does not specifically teach 'providing access to the enhanced profile database via a computer network from a remote location', receiving a user profile query at the enhanced profile database requesting information', 'sending a profile response to the user at the remote location'. On the other hand, Nielsen teaches 'providing access to the enhanced profile database via a computer network from a remote location' see fig 1, Abstract, page 9, line 36-43], receiving a user profile query at the enhanced profile database requesting information' [fig 2-3page 5, line 54-57], 'sending a profile response to the user at the remote location' [fig 1].

It would have been obvious one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicants invention to incorporate the teachings of Nielsen et al. into method of describing building structure information template of Murdock et al. because they are

Art Unit: 2177

both directed to graphical user interface either adding, or selecting information templates [Murdock: Abstract, fig 13-14; Nielsen: Abstract, fig 2-3], and both systems maintain information in the database. More specifically Murdock teaches collection, generating building structure information, while Nielsen is directed to search for specific information. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine the references because that would have allowed users of Murdock's method of describing building structure information template to control which relative or specific search query to the search engine satisfies his or her needs as suggested by Nielsen et al., [see Abstract], thus bringing the advantages of user can compose required query either form fill or template fill or text editing.

- 14. As to Claim 10, the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 9 above. In addition, Murdock disclosed 'information on various items that related to describing the structure of a building such as door, windows, and like [see col 5, line 15-30], therefore, other elements such as cabinets, counter-tops, trim length, .....use boxes are integral part of any building structure.
- 15. Claims 16-21 is substantially the same as Claim 10 and is thus rejected for reasons similar to those in rejecting Claim 10.

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 09/632,383

Art Unit: 2177

- 16. Claims 11-12,14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murdock et al., [hereafter Murdock], US Patent No. 5983010, Nielsen et al., [hereafter Nielsen], EP051368, further in view of Elliott, US Patent No. 6446053
- 17. As to Claims 11-12,14-15, Murdock suggests 'cost estimation' [col 8, line 20-23], however, both Murdock and Nielsen do not specifically teach group consisting of merchant catalog, material databases, builder databases, unit price for building'.

  On the other hand, Elliott teaches a system which including merchant catalog, material databases, builder databases, unit price for building' [see Abstract, fig 3,6, col 2, line 10-17, col 4, line 20-25, col 6, line 57-63].

It would have been obvious one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicants invention to incorporate the teachings of Elliott into method of describing a building structure of Murdock et al. and self-teaching advanced search specification of Nielsen et al. because they are directed to user interface in which user selects, generates required information [see Elliott: Abstract, Murdock: Abstract; Nielsen: Abstract]. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine the references because that would have allowed users of Murdock et al. and Nielsen et al to incorporate the teaching of computer implemented method for producing a proposal for a construction project to control not only user have ability to select required material from suppliers updated catalog database directly, but

Art Unit: 2177

also control the cost of construction project as suggested by Ellott [col 2, line, 25-63] thus improving the quality and reliability of the system.

# Response to Arguments

18. Applicant's arguments filed 10/14/2003, Claims 1-21 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, for examiners response see discussion below:

At page 11, page 14, Claim 1, applicant argues obviousness because (1) there is no suggestion or motivation......

At page 15, claims 6-8, applicant argues claim 6 includes elements (f-h) which are similar to elements (f-h) in claim1.....

In response to applicant's arguments that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Murdock et al., is firstly, directed to method of describing a building structure, more specifically describing structure of a building that including core structural information template and like [see Abstract], secondly, Murdock specifically directed to collection of various structural

Art Unit: 2177

information through user interface [see fig 9], thirdly, Murdock teaches selection of either defaulted dimension values or locating additional structural information template for describing building structure [see10,col 6, line 40-65]. On the other hand, firstly, Nielsen is directed to self-teaching advanced search, more specifically searching database for specific information and displaying, secondly, Nielsen specifically directed to user interface or graphical user interface in which user enters the required query [page 7, line 8-13], thirdly, Nielsen also teaches world wide web that permits users to search variety of information, in this case building structure information, further it is noted that by Nielsen also teaches hypertext markup language that controls and appearance and behavior of document or web document [page 4, line 25-26]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the teachings of Nielsen into describing a building structure of Murdock because that would have allowed users of Murdock to create building structure documents in HTML form, also incorporating various other features such as pull down menu, buttons, hints button as detailed in fig 2 to improve the search quality of web documents.

At page 14, applicants argument Claim 1, "examiner's impermissible hindsight reconstruction .......

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon

Art Unit: 2177

hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

At page 14, Claim 4-5, Murdock and Nielson are improperly combined.......

As to the above argument, examiner argues reasons above discussed

As to the arguments for Claim 9-21, examiner reincorporated the above

discussion. Further it is noted that Nielson specifically teaches searching database to

get required information [see Nielson: fig 2-3], therefore, one of the ordinary skill in the

art would have been motivated to incorporate the teachings of Nielson into querying

various building components contained in the enhanced database, hence improving the

potential benefits of computer processing efforts to collect and process other building

items and locations information [see Murdock: col 2, line 57-63]

Art Unit: 2177

#### Conclusion

# The prior art made of record

a. US Patent No. 5983010

b. EP051368

c. US Patent No. 6446053

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure

d. US Patent No. 5	950206
--------------------	--------

e. US Patent No. 6381594

f. US Patent No. 5587914

g. US Patent No. 2002/0083076A1

h. US Patent No. 5732264

i. US Patent No. 5818428

. US Patent No. 5625827

k. Grier CI Lin et al., development of international

collaborative CAD/CAM, Proc.Joint Australia-Korea workshop on manufacturing technology November 20-21, 1995, pp 155-168

Art Unit: 2177

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Srirama Channavajjala whose telephone number is (703) 308-8538. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM Eastern Time. The TC2100's Customer Service number is (703) 306-5631.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Breene, can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone numbers for the organization where the application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

703/746-7238	After Final Communication)

703/872-9306 (Offical Communications)

703/746-7240 (For Status inquiries, draft communication)

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Patent Examiner.

November 20, 2003.